



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/768,303

01/29/2004

Ozgur C. Leonard

15437-0602

6314

45657

7590

01/16/2009

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP  
AND SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.

2055 GATEWAY PLACE

SUITE 550

SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1089

EXAMINER

WAI, ERIC CHARLES

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2195

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/768,303	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEONARD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ERIC C. WAI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC C. WAI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Bobby Truong (Reg No. 37,499). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Armstrong, Berger.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called to discuss newly proposed amendments to claim 1. Examiner agreed that the cited prior art (Berger in view of Armstrong) would be insufficient to reject newly proposed claim amendments. However, further search and consideration would still be necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/VAN H NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194	
---	--